UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,365	04/16/2004	Chang Yeon Kim	8733.1032.00-US	8096
30827 7590 03/29/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			EXAMINER	
1900 K STREE	ET, NW		8733.1032.00-US 8096	, DANIEL
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006			PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
CHARTENED STATUTOR	A BEBIOD OF BEEDOVICE	MAN DATE		
SHUKTENED STATUTUK	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/29/2007	PAF	PER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$\gamma_H$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/825,365	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	DANIEL TSEGAYE	2609	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 April 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		. •	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April, 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the present</li></ol>	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
	1		
•			
Attachment(s)		•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date  ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/825,365

Art Unit: 2609

#### DETAILED ACTION

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1,2,13,15,17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by Ishizuka (U.S. Pat # 6,965,362).

As to claim 17, Ishizuka disclose an electro-luminescence display device, comprising:

gate lines (cathode lines, e.g., B<sub>1</sub>-B<sub>2</sub>);

data lines (anode lines, e.g.,  $A_1$ - $A_m$ ) crossing the gate lines (see col.5, lines 64-65);

pixel cells at crossings of the gate lines and the data lines (see col. 5, lines 58-62);

a gate driver (13) that sequentially applies a gate signal to the gate lines (cathode lines) during one horizontal period (see col.6, lines 4-15); and

a plurality of data driving circuits (e.g.,  $17_1$ - $17_m$  and corresponding  $v_p$ , see Fig. 7) having a voltage driver ( $v_p$ ) that applies voltage signal to the data lines (anode line e.g.,  $A_1$ - $A_m$ ) corresponding to image data and a current driver (e.g.,  $17_1$ - $17_m$ ) that allows the current signals corresponding to the image data to flow from the pixel cells (see col.6, lines 33-39 and also see from col.6, lines 61-67 to col.7, lines 1-8).

As to claims 1 and 18, these claims differs from claim 17 only in the limitation "apply voltage signals to the pixel cells during a first time of the horizontal period and applying current signals to the pixel cells during a second time after the first time of the horizontal period" additionally recited. Ishizuka clearly teaches apply voltage signals to the pixel cells during a first time of the horizontal period (e.g. referring to Fig. 4, switch  $6_1$  switched to ground voltage during specific time, e.g.,  $T_1$ =t) and applying current signals to the pixel cells during a second time after the first time of the horizontal period (e.g. referring to Fig. 4, switches  $6_2$   $-6_3$  switched to current source during specific time, e.g.,  $T_2$ =2t also see col.7, lines 9-18).

As to claim 13, this claim differs from claim 1 only in that claim 1 is apparatus whereas claim 13 is method. In addition, claim 13 recite the limitation "applying a gate signal from a gate driver during each horizontal period to select pixel cells along specific horizontal line". Ishizuka clearly teaches that applying a gate signal from a gate driver

Art Unit: 2609

(13) during each horizontal period to select pixel cells (e.g., E<sub>1,1</sub> Fig.7) along specific horizontal line (e.g., B<sub>1</sub>, and also, see col.6, 9-15).

As to claims 2,15 and 19, note the discussion above, Ishizuka teaches wherein the first time  $(T_1)$  is shorter than the second time  $(T_2)$ .

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3,5-7, 8,21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka (U.S Pat # 6,965,362) in view of Ishizuka et al. (U.S Pat # 6,756,951)

As to claims 3 and 21, note the discussion of Ishizuka '362 above. Ishizuka '362 teaches, a voltage driver (v<sub>p.</sub> see Fig.7) that applies voltage signals to the data lines (e.g., A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>m</sub>) corresponding to image data (4) (see Fig. 4); and a current driver (e.g., 17<sub>1</sub>, see Fig.7) that allows the current signals corresponding to the image data (4) to flow from the pixel cells (see from col.6, lines 61-67 to col.7, lines 1-8). Ishizuka '362 does not teaches wherein each of the plurality of data driving circuit. Ishizuka '951 teaches wherein each of the plurality of data driving circuits (e.g., 201,202 and 203).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have used each of the plurality of data driving circuits of

Application/Control Number: 10/825,365

Art Unit: 2609

Ishizuka '951 to light emitting panel of Ishizuka '362 because more data driving circuit make the display panel uniform (see col.3, lines 1-4 of Ishizuka '951).

As to claim 5, Ishizuka '362 teaches wherein the voltage driver includes; a plurality of first switches (e.g., 16<sub>1</sub>&16<sub>m</sub>) in the voltage driving block (14) and each of the data lines, wherein the first switches are turned on by a control signal (see col.6, lines 24-31 of Ishizuka '362). Ishizuka '952 teaches number of driving blocks (201-202). Thus combining Ishizuka '362 and Ishizuka '952 would meet the claim limitations.

As to claims 6 and 22, Ishizuka '951 teaches wherein said current driver includes;

a plurality of current driving blocks (e.g., 201,202 and 203) corresponding to each data line that drive the current signal in response to the image data, said current driving blocks having i blocks (e.g., 201,202 and 203); and

a plurality of second switches  $(s_1-s_m)$  between each of the current driving blocks (e.g., 202 'Ishizuka ') and each of the data lines (e.g.,  $A_1$ ) and wherein the second switches  $(s_1-s_m)$  are turned on by a control signal (see from col.6, lines 54-67 to col.7, lines1-10).

As to claim 7, Ishizuka '362 teaches wherein the control signal remains at a first level (e.g., toward  $v_p$ ) during the first time (e.g., during  $T_1$ =t) and remaining at second level (e.g., toward current source) during the second time (e.g., during  $T_2$ =2t)(see col.6, lines 24-31).

Art Unit: 2609

7. Claims 8-12,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka (U.S Pat # 6,965,362) in view of Kim (U.S Pat # 20030038760).

As to claim 9, Ishizuka teaches an electro-luminescence display device, comprising:

applying a gate signal to pixel cells along a specific horizontal line (e.g., B<sub>1</sub>) during a horizontal period (see col.6, 9-15);

applying a voltage value  $(v_p)$  corresponding to image data to the pixel cells during a first time  $(T_1)$ .

applying a current value (e.g., 17<sub>1</sub>) corresponding to the image data to the pixel cells (e.g., E<sub>1,1</sub>) during a second time after the first time to display an image corresponding to the image data ( see col.6, lines 24-39). Ishizuka '362 does not teach pre-charge the pixel cells. Kim teaches applying a voltage value corresponding to image data to the pixel cells during the first time to pre-charge the pixel cells (electroluminescence cell, see Figs 4-5 and 7A, [0056-0058]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have pre charged the pixel cells as taught by Kim to the data driving circuit of Ishizuka '362 because the pre-charging Kim would provide charging discharging the storage capacitor with in a limited time to change the driving current into the corresponding voltage, thereby improving a response speed (see [0069]).

As to claim 8, Kim teaches wherein the voltage signal (vp) is charged onto a storage capacitor in the pixel cell (e.g., EL cell, see [0061]).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,365

Art Unit: 2609

As to claim 10, Ishizuka teaches wherein applying a voltage value and applying a current value are repeated every horizontal period (see col.7, lines 9-18).

As to claim 11, Ishizuka '362 teaches wherein the first time (e.g. referring to Fig. 4,  $T_1$ =t) is less than the second time (e.g. referring to Fig. 4,  $T_2$ =2t).

As to claims 12 and 16, Kim teaches wherein applying a voltage (e.g.,  $v_{pre}$ ) value includes charging a storage capacitor (see [0061]).

8. Claims 4,14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka (U.S. Pat # 6,965,362) in view of Ha et al. (U.S Pat #7,030,842).

As to claims 4 and 20, Ha teaches a gamma voltage driver (46) that applies a plurality of gamma voltage levels to the voltage driver (44) so as to generate the voltage signal (see col.8, lines 25-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have provided a gamma voltage driver that applies a plurality of gamma voltage levels to the voltage driver so as to generate the voltage signal as taught by Ha to light emitting panel of Ishizuka '362 because the gamma voltages generators applying different gamma voltages would provide the pictures are displayable by the panels at a substantially uniform brightness (see col.7, lines 1-3)

As to claim 14, Ha teaches applying the voltage value to the pixel cells (48) includes selecting one of a plurality of gamma voltage (e.g., Gamma one, see col.3, lines 48-50) values according to the image data to apply to the pixel cells (see col.8 lines 35-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,365 Page 8

Art Unit: 2609

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made or record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bea et al. (6,956,547) cited to teach plurality of data driving circuit, such as current and voltage drivers in the data driving circuit.

Hasegawa (U.S Pub #2001/0028335) cited to teach block of driving circuits.

Sato (U.S Pub # 2004/0201554) and Kim (EP Pat # 1 361 561) are cited to teach the driving electro luminescent.

### Inquiries

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL TSEGAYE whose telephone number is 571 270-1715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:005:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHANH NGUYEN can be reached on 571 272 7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,365 Page 9

Art Unit: 2609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D Tsegaye Mar 15, 2007

> CHANH D. NGUYEN (/ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER